Doc, No. 2074

Affidavit of Examination of the Witness Nobara Komakichi

Moscow, February 15, 1946.

Nohara Komakichi, born in 1899, a native of Yokohama (Japan). A Japanese subject. Non-party member. Family: Wife and son. School and university aducation received in Europe, graduated from the faculty of philosophy at the University in Basle and Berlin. From 1922 till 1939 he lived in Europe, the United States of America, South America and Japan. He was a journalist. Has never been tried. From the 1st of September 1939 till the 21st of April 1945 he was an assistant of a pressagent of the Japanese Embassy in Berlin. At present he is in the U.S.S.R. as an internee.

I have been warned of the responsibility for false testimony. I am informed of the contents of Chapter 25 of R.T.F. S.R. Criminal Code.

The examination is being conducted in the Japanese Language, with translation into Russian by the interpreter Rosenbaum E. G. The interpreter Rosenbaum E. G. is warned of the responsibility for the correctness of the translation, according to Chapter 98 of the R.T.F.S.R. Criminal Code.

Question: When and where were you detained by the units of the Red Army?

Answer: I was detained by the units of the Red Army on the 21st of April 1945 in the suburb of Berlin, in Shtrausberg, 40 kilometres to the East of Berlin.

Question: Why were you in the area of military operations and not at the Japanese Embassy in Berlin on the 21st of April, 1945?

Answer: It happened, because I always lived in Shtrausberg, where my family was. I didn't want to part with them in such troublesome time. Last time I worked at the Japanese Embassy on the 16th of April, 1945, later on I was not able to go to Berlin, because the railway between Berlin and Shtrausberg was destroyed.

÷ 0 00 10. 2071

Question: Explain the origin and nature of the present German and English documents, which were found about you by the Red Army officer at the time of your arrest and explain why those documents were on you.

Answer: The present documents on four sheets of paper which were actually found on me, belonged in the past to the Japanese Embassy in Berlin. As I was a convinced antifascist I was against the war of Jacan and Germany against the Soviet Union and her allies. I deliberately kept these documents and in-tended to use them in the interest of the allies, as I was sure that the day would come when these documents would to a certain extent expose the Japanese war criminals, who had provoked the war against the peace-loving countries and brought their country and their people to a catastrophe. Therefore, I kept those documents and made no attempt to hide or destroy them. When on the 2ist of April 1945 I was taken in Shurausberg I handed them over to the Soviet officer. The greater part of these documents were secret. Though it was not my immediate duty, as a press-agent, to deal with documents of that kind, yet I was made to be concerned with secret work by the counselor of the Embassy Kavahara Sin. My duty was to work at the documents of military and other secret information. The work was considered to be top secret and nobody but Ambassador Oshima, the counselor of the Embassy Kavahara and me were initiated in it. The greater part of the present documents which were found about me are copies of memorandums, containing secret information about the number and disposition of the units of the Pod Army its compared and supplies the state of the the Red Army, its equipment and supplies, the state of the war industry of the Soviet Union and its production: planes, tanks and also man-power of the U.S.S.R. The Japanese Embassy in Berlin received military information of similar nature about the Soviet Union from Japanese Ambassadors in Moscow Tatekava and Sato in the form of ciphered telegrams, at which the Counselor Kavahara and me worked afterwards and translated into German. Afterwards the Japanese Embassy in Berlin passed that information to the German Ministry for Foreign Affairs.

Question: You are being shown the document of the 19th of January 1945, headed "War potential of the Soviet Russia", in which figures of planes, tanks, oil, etc., produced in the Soviet Union, are omitted. By whom and when was the document drawn up and what does the omission of the figures mean?

Answer: This document was drawn up by the Counselor Kavahara and ne in January, 1945, on the ground of the information, received from Sato, the Japanese Ambassador in Moscow. The omission of the figures is made by the Counselor Kavahara; he wrote them in hand in the 1st copy and handed the copy as it was, to the Germans. This information Kavahara considered top secret.

Question: Among the documents found about you, there is a document under the title of "On the strongth of the Red Army". There is a mark, made in your hand on this document, stating that on the 21st of January, 1942, the military attache in the Japanese Embassy in Moscow, through us, handed the following information to the Ministry for Foreign Affairs. Under the document it is written in your hand that "on the 22nd of January, 1942, that information was in the hands of the German Commander-in-Chief". Explain what those marks on that document mean.

Answer: This document is a record of secret information received by the Japanese Embassy in Berlin from the Japanese attache in Moscow on the 21st of January, 1942. That's how the origin of this information was explained to me orally by the Counsel of the Embassy Kavahara. The record is reproduced by me in this document on the ground of draft copies. The next day, on the 22nd of January, after Kavahara and me had worked up this document, the Counselor Kavahara personally handed it to the German Foreign Office. As to the delivery of secret information about the Allies to the Germans by the Japanese Embassy in Berlin, I want to say in addition that from 1939 till 1945 the Japanese Embassy handed, as far as I remember, about 40 communiques to the Germans whenever they were coming from Moscow, London and Tokyo. The contents of those materials, mainly, refer to war-economic power of the U.S.S.R. and her Allies. Part of the materials were summaries of certain investigations concerning different problems, other materials were results of personal observations of the Japanese who passed through the Soviet Union in war-time.

Question: Was anything known to you about the agreement of neutrality between Japan and the Soviet Union, signed in 1941?

Answer: This document was drawn up by the Counselor Kavahara and ne in January, 1945, on the ground of the information, received from Sato, the Japanese Ambassador in Moscow. The omission of the figures is made by the Counselor Kavahara; he wrote them in hand in the 1st copy and handed the copy as it was, to the Germans. This information Kavahara considered top secret.

Question: Among the documents found about you, there is a document under the title of "On the strength of the Red Army". There is a mark, made in your hand on this document, stating that on the 21st of January, 1942, the military attache in the Japanese Embassy in Moscow, through us, handed the following information to the Ministry for Foreign Affairs. Under the document it is written in your hand that "on the 22nd of January, 1942, that information was in the hands of the German Commander-in-Chief". Explain what those marks on that document mean.

Answer: This document is a record of secret information received by the Japanese Embassy in Berlin from the Japanese attache in Moscow on the 21st of January, 1942. That's how the origin of this information was explained to me orally by the Counsel of the Embassy Kavahara. The record is reproduced by me in this document on the ground of draft copies. The next day, on the 22nd of January, after Kavahara and me had worked up this document, the Counselor Kavahara personally handed it to the German Foreign Office. As to the delivery of secret information about the Allies to the Germans by the Japanese Embassy in Berlin, I want to say in addition that from 1939 till 1945 the Japanese Embassy handed, as far as I remember, about 40 communiques to the Germans whenever they were coming from Moscow, London and Tokyo. The contents of those materials, mainly, refer to war-economic power of the U.S.S.R. and her Allies. Part of the materials were summaries of certain investigations concerning different problems, other materials were results of personal observations of the Japanese who passed through the Soviet Union in war-time.

Question: Was anything known to you about the agreement of neutrality between Japan and the Soviet Union, signed in 1941?

Answer: Yes, I, as well as the rest of the Japanese Embassy staff in Berlin, knew of the existence of such an agreement.

Question: By whose orders did the Japanese Embassy in Berlin pass the secret information about the U.S.S.R. to the Germans?

Answer: By the order from Tokyo and the personal order of Ambassador Oshina,

Question: In this case, how will you characterize the actions of the Japanese authorities and their representative Oshima, the Ambassador in Berlin?

Answer: Since there was an agreement of neutrality between Japan and the Soviet Union, the delivery to Germany of secret military and other information about the U.S.S.R. helped to a certain extent Germany in her war against the Soviet Union and, consequently, was a breach of the agreement of neutrality. Ambassador Oshima, as a representative of the Japanese Government did not want to consider and fulfill the agreement of neutrality between Japan and the Soviet Union. In his practical activity he was guided by the ideological alliance, the so-called "anti-komintern pact", a military union between Germany, Japan and Italy. Oshima being an active partisan and conductor of the Japanese Government's anti-Soviet policy, in this respect his activity directly contributed to the latter.

Question: Characterize the activity of Oshima Hirosi, as Ambassador of Japan in Berlin.

Answer: The activity of Oshima, as well as his political face, have been sufficiently described by me above. I only want to add the following: Oshima Hirosi is a convinced partisan of fascism of the German type and an irreconcilable enemy of the U.S.S.R., England and the U.S.A. He contributed to a large extent to conclusion of a military agreement between Germany, Japan and Italy, being the Japanese military attache in Berlin. During the relebration of the anniversary of the Mukdon battle (the victory of the Japanese in the Russo-Japanese war of 1904-1905), Oshima said at a banquet in Berlin: "I am drinking Russian vodka and think that I am drinking Russia". The complete Oshima's confidence in power of Germany was well-known not only at the Embassy but

to the Germans as well. Gooring, Keitel, Himmler and other notorious leaders of Germany were often invited by Ambassador Oshima to banquets at the Embassy. Mitter was once present Goering was reluctant of visiting the Embassy (he did not conceal his unfriendliness towards the Japanese, Himmler and other leaders of "S.S." Arequently called on Oshina and in their turn invited him to them. Oshina knows the German Language quite well and therefore he never took an interpreter along while visiting the Germans. In this way his talks with the Hitlerites were held in privacy and their topics are unknown to me. With the help of Humler and his assistants Ostina travelled in Germany a lot and the Germans trusting Oshina showed him very much. It was also with their assistance that Oshina, accompanied by the Japanese military attacho in 1942 or 1943 made a trip to the Soviet-German front. He was in the Ukraina, I think in Kamensk or Kamenka. The fact that he was an Ambassador of Japan, which had an agreement of noutrality with the U.S.S.R., did not embarvass him in the least. He thought it quite appropriate to stay on the Soviet territory, occupied by the Germans. Oshina has always been an advocate of a joint military attack of Japan and Germany on the U.S.S.R. this respect the following episode is characteristic. Immediately after the attack of Germany against the Soviet Union on the 22 - 23rd of June 1941, a ciphered telegram was received in Tokyo, from the Minister of Foreign Affairs, the neaning of which was approximately the following: "In connection with the present situation Japan would guard the Pacific Ocean in the spirits of auti-komintern pact," Oshima summoned the counselor Kavahara and me and asked for our opinion. Kavahara and I declared that, according to the meaning of the telegram Japan will not attack the U.S.S.R. Oshima tried to prove the opposite, pointing out that "the guard of the Pacific Ocean" neant war of Japan against the U.S.S.R. Oshima, infuriated by vagueness of the telegram, ordered the telegram to be sent to the Germans in the form it was received, without paraphrasing the text and translating it from English into German. The reply of the Germans is unknown to me. Oshina completely shared the so-called "theory" of racial domination, exposed in Hitler's book "Mein Kampf". When other Japanese made hints to Oshima that Hitler spoke about the Japanese as well as of inferior creatures, Oshima answered that it was Hitler's mistake and that he would ask the author to introduce correspondent corrections in the book. Oshina ignored facts that were

common knowledge about the Hitlerites' atrocities within their own country and on the occupied territory of the Soviet Union. He considered these to be false, saying that they were only lies and enemy propaganda. As a natter of fact, obligingness and servility of Oshina towards the Germans were boundless. The case of a Japanese professor Sakimura may serve as an example of it. Being sent on commission by a Japanese Hetallurgical concern to Germany Sakimura in 1943 or 1944 loft for Swedon, where in an interview given to an English correspondent declared that Germany would lose the war through shortage of iron and on the whole he denounced German Tascism. In connection with the protests of the Germans there was an exchange of notes between Oshina and the Germans three times. In his last note Oshina informed the Germans that he did not object to the delivery of Saki-Hur to the Gestapo. This is an indisputable fact, as I myself put the technical touches to the note. This action of Oshina caused great indignation even among pro-fascist Japanese. I don't know what has become of Sakirmura, as he did not live in the Embassy and I lost sight of hir. Military attaches generals Banzai and Komatsu and navy attaches Iokoi and Kodzima were the most intimate people, with whom Oshima had close connections. He constantly kept company with them as all of them cultivated profascist sentiments. Finally, the last stage of Oshina's career in Germany was also connected with Oshina's complete confidence in strength and possibility of the Germans. When in April 1945 the situation became alarning in Berlin, Oshima accepted the Germans! offer to move to the South of Germany as he believed the Germans that they would be able to continue a lasting resistance in the South.
On the 14th of April 1945 Oshima with the Embassy went to
Bad Gashtein, to the south of Salzburg in motor cars. Since
then I haven't heard anything new about Oshima. In Berlin, the Counselor Kavahara, a diplomatic attache, the Japanese consul and two or three officials were left in Berlin to contact with separate members of the German Cabinet. I stayed because of the pointed out at the beginning. The testimony is written from my words correctly and is translated into Japanese to ne.

Nohara

The examination began at 5 o'clock The examination ended at 9 o'clock.

Examined: Lieutenant-Colonel Petrov.

The interpreter Rosenbaum

Kepebeus Kejobs

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSLATION OF THE ABOVE DOCUMENT

I, M. GILDENBLAT, hereby certify that I am thoroughly conversant with the Russian and English languages: and the above is a correct and true translation of the indicated Document.

133	gna	dean and	. 0					
01	E112	ULLI	3 B		Sale Sale		 -	-
Par no	Chemin		-	COMPANY OF THE OWNER, THE PARTY OF THE OWNER, T		 	The second secon	

Doc. No. 2074 Page 7 (final) - Doc. No. 2074

19 January 1945

ATDODARD

Page 1

Confidential

WAR FOTENTIAL OF SCVIET RUSSIA

Favorable development of the military status of Soviet Russia and the reconquering of her lost regions has resulted not only in the moral but also in the material strengthening of the war potential of Soviet Russia.

The production of aircraft, tanks, vessels, coal, oil iron, steel, and other war materials has increased though the basic industries have not yet reached the pre-war production level. Rebuilding in reconquered regions has already begun, but still Soviet Russia, in restoring her war industry, relies on the aid from abroad to a large extent.

As far as food-stuffs are concerned, restoration in the reconquered territories is comparatively rapid and therefore in view of last year's good harvest, the breakdown of the Russian war potential due to the lack of food-stuffs is unthinkable. Russian armed forces and workers can maintain their former efficiency.

The patriotic movement in Russia, the friendly attitude of the government towards religion, as well as a number of economic measures have led to the revival of the national spirit. On the whole, it can be confirmed that the war potential of Soviet Russia has notably increased.

PRODUCTION OF WAR PATERIALS

HALIVIAN A		
Production per month in December 1944		
Number of aircraft received from abroad until April 1944		
(Average monthly delivery:)	7.416	
Number of aircraft in disposition on the first front against Germany		
Number of aircraft in use in the eastern regions of the USSR	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
TANKS		
Production per month in December 1944	-	

Doc. 10. 2074	Page 2
Number of tanks imported from abroad until April 1944	
(Average monthly delivery)	
Number of tanks on the fronts:	
Against Cormany	
In eastern regions of the USSR	
VESSELS	
Number of vessels built in 1944: 10 with the total tornage of 120,000 tons.	
CCAL	
The restoration of coal mines in the Donet has not made any noticeable progress. At 1944 the daily cutput of the Easin amounte 75,000 to 100,000 tons. However, there ar bilities of increasing the output in the A Uzbekistan regions. The output of coal in Russia in 1944 amounted to 130,000,000 ton	the end of d to from e possi- laganda and Soviet
CIL	
The production of oil in 1944, including t and the Folish oil fields amounted to 38,0 The amount of aviation petrol imported fro before April 1944 (Average delivery per month:	00,000 tons.
IRON and STEEL	
Iron industry of the Donetz Basin has not in full measure, though there are possibil increasing production in the Urals and in The production of steel ir 1944 amounted tons.	ities of Uzbekistan.
ALUI INULI	
Production in 1944 - from 100,000 to 120,00 Importation from abroad before April 1944 (Average amount per month	00 tons.
COPPER	
Production in 1944 - 200,000 tons. Imports cooper and copper wards from abroad before 1944 - 240,000 tons.	ation of April

Doc. No. 2074

Page 3

AUTOLOBILES

Froduction in 1944 - 200,000. Importation from abroad before April 1944 - 220,000.

LAMPOUER

Number of mobilized forces _____ men

Total losses since the beginning of the war - 11,000,000

Reserves able to be mobilized: from 1,000,000 to 3,000,000 men.

In eastern regions of the USSR _____ men.

Number of workers engaged in war work --

71,600,000

Among them:

限

28,200,000 men 43,400,000 women

Reserves of labor power from 3,000,000 to 3,500,000

FOOD SITUATION

Cereal Production in 1944 - 46,800,000 tons

etc. etc.

Toc. No. 2074

(ritten in handwriting) On 21 January 1942, the Filitary Attache at the Japanese Embassy in Moscow let the following report go through us to the German Foreign Office:

Confidential

The Strength of the Soviet Russian Armed Forces

According to information received by the Rumanian General Staff the aspect of the strength of the Red Army at the beginning of December 1941 is as follows:

Strength of Russian troops before the beginning of the Russian-German war:

European Russia:

Infantry						146	divisions
Cavalry							11
Armored							- 11
Total .	-					208	11

Far East:

Infantry	r					25	divisions
Cavalry						20	11
Armored						5	11
Total .		-				50	11

The strength of Russian troops increased by mobilization since the beginning of the war:

Total strength - 340-350 divisions

Losses during the war: (until the beginning of December 1941)

Infantry							215-220 divisions
Cavalry							15-20 "
Armored	For	200	25		٠		20-22 "
							(Translator's note corrected by hand; originally types: "10-20")

etc.

(Written by hand under the printed text on lower margin of the document)

"On January 22, 1942 this information was in the hands of the German commander-in-chief."